

LAWLER, METZGER, KEENEY & LOGAN, LLC

1717 K STREET, NW
SUITE 1075
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

EMILY J. H. DANIELS
PHONE (202) 777-7723

PHONE (202) 777-7700
FACSIMILE (202) 777-7763

November 8, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Section 63.63 Application of Comcast IP Phone, LLC
WC Docket No. 16-352

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 8, 2016, Comcast IP Phone, LLC (“Comcast”) filed an application under section 63.63 of the Commission’s rules seeking emergency authority to discontinue its provision of interconnected voice over Internet protocol services and associated features to six customers in California.¹ As explained in the application, natural erosion of the sea wall along the Sonoma coast required the California Department of Transportation to immediately begin the repairs that resulted in the emergency discontinuance of these Comcast offerings.²

In the application, Comcast also indicated that the customers in question have the option of either obtaining comparable wireline voice service from AT&T or purchasing wireless service from a number of carriers.³ In response to an inquiry from the FCC, Comcast now has confirmed that all six customers impacted by the discontinuance in question have some type of active voice service at this time. As a result, grant of

¹ Section 63.63 Application of Comcast IP Phone, LLC, WC Docket No. 16-352 (Sept. 8, 2016).

² *See generally id.*

³ *Id.* at 3.

Marlene H. Dortch
November 8, 2016
Page 2

authority for an indefinite and/or permanent discontinuance in this matter unquestionably will not harm the public interest.⁴

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Emily J.H. Daniels

Emily J.H. Daniels

Outside Counsel to Comcast IP Phone, LLC

cc: Rodney McDonald
Kimberly Jackson

⁴ Comcast notes that it is not a carrier of last resort and had no choice but to discontinue these offerings, as Comcast was not permitted by the California Department of Transportation to reroute its facilities. Accordingly, Comcast respectfully submits that, even if it had not been able to establish that the customers in question have active voice service, grant of the instant application still would have been appropriate.